1	BOROUGH OF SEVEN FIELDS ZONING HEARING BOARD
2	PUBLIC HEARING
3	
4	In the matter of:
5	HUTTON ST 17, LLC
6	
7	Transcript of a videoconference hearing held before the Zoning Hearing Board of Seven Fields at
8	the Seven Fields Borough Building, 2200 Garden
9	Drive, Seven Fields, Pennsylvania 16046, stenographically taken before me, the undersigned,
10	Eileen L. Drake-Ober, a Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, commencing
11	at 6:09 o'clock p.m., on Monday, February 15, 2021.
12	
13	APPEARANCES (via videoconference):
14	Zoning Hearing Board:
15	Richard DeBlasio, Chairman Brocton Skeen
16	John Popojas
17	On Behalf of the Zoning Hearing Board:
18	Obermayer, Rebmann, Maxwell & Hippel, LLP:
19	Andrew J. Horowitz, Esquire
20	525 William Penn Place, Suite 1710 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219
21	On behalf of the Borough:
22	Weiss Burkardt Kramer, LLC:
23	M. Janet Burkardt, Esquire Danielle Guarascio, Esquire
24	445 Fort Pitt Boulevard, Suite 503 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219
25	

```
1
           On behalf of the Applicant:
 2
              Reed Smith, LLP:
              Dusty Elias Kirk, Esquire
 3
              Rachel O'Neill, Esquire
              Nicole Prieto, Esquire
              225 Fifth Avenue
              Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15222
 5
 6
     Administration:
              Thomas Smith, Borough Manager
              John Balewski, P.E., Borough Engineer
 8
              Kate Belfiore
 9
10
     Also present:
11
          Mark Zimmerman, P.E.
12
          Joe Uhler
          Josh Haydo
13
          George Alexandris
          Cody Mora
          Alex Weidenhof
14
          Chris McVay
15
          Dawn Servello
          Dan Christenson
16
          Dicle Demiral
          Stephan Fatschel
17
          Brian Trimble
          Kimberly Grasso
18
          Suzanne Mills
          J.T. Thompson
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	I-N-D-E-X	
2	WITNESS:	PAGE:
3	John Balewski, P.E.	53
4		
5	EXHIBITS:	PAGE:
6	Applicant 1 - packet	98
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	MR. DeBLASIO: That reminded me to
3	introduce myself. Everyone, my name is
4	Richard DeBlasio. I am chairman of the
5	zoning board of the Borough of Seven Fields.
6	We are now calling in the meeting that is
7	slated for 6:00 p.m. on February 15th. 2021.
8	Is it is being conducted entirely via Zoom
9	due to several issues, mainly the COVID-19
10	pandemic, as well as the impending winter
11	storm that has since arrived here today, so
12	for everyone's safety we thought it was
13	better to conduct this meeting via Zoom.
14	I am going to have some comments after
15	I do the roll call and Pledge of Allegiance.
16	At this point, I do want to do the roll call.
17	Board Member Skeen, are you present?
18	MR. SKEEN: Present.
19	MR. DeBLASIO: Board Member Popojas,
20	are you present?
21	MR. POPOJAS: I am present.
22	MR. DeBLASIO: Okay. I know we are
23	doing this via Zoom, but I do still feel it
24	appropriate that we still do the Pledge of
25	Allegiance. If you can, just put your hand
i	

1	on your heart.
2	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
3	MR. DeBLASIO: A brief statement
4	before we get into the procedure of the
5	meeting. The procedures for tonight's
6	hearing are set forth in Section 908 of the
7	Municipal Planning Code. This is a quasi
8	judicial proceeding where everything that is
9	said will be transcribed by our court
10	reporter, and all testimony must be given
11	under oath. The parties to this hearing are
12	the applicant, Hutton Street 17, LLC, and
13	Seven Fields Borough, both of whom are
14	represented by counsel.
15	The applicant is seeking several
16	variances to the borough's zoning ordinance,
17	and the applicant, therefore, has the burden
18	to prove that the variance should be
19	approved. The applicant will present its
20	case, followed by the borough. Once the
21	borough has completed presenting its case,
22	members of the community who are affected by
23	the proposed development will have the
24	opportunity to provide testimony regarding
25	the project, if they wish.

1	If you are provide if you choose to
2	provide such testimony, you should expect the
3	following: First, the court reporter will
4	swear you in to tell the truth. Given that
5	we are doing this via Zoom, I would request
6	that when I do open it up for comment, that
7	you state your name and that we only have one
8	person speaking at that time. I promise we
9	will get to everyone. I also ask that, given
10	the amount of interest in this hearing, that
11	we keep our comments concise. The board
12	members will listen to everything, but we do
13	want to keep the comments as concise as
14	possible. Following your testimony, you
15	will may be subject to questioning from
16	myself, the other board members, as well as
17	counsel for the applicant and the borough.
18	Once all testimony has been completed,
19	the board will go into an executive session
20	in a separate board room breakout room to
21	deliberate on the proposal. We will then
22	come back on the record for a motion to
23	approve or deny the requested variances.
24	Okay. At that point, I would open it
25	up to the applicant, Hutton Street 17, LLC's

1	counsel to present their case.
2	MS. KIRK: Thank you, very much. I
3	just wanted to for the record, my name is
4	Dusty Elias Kirk, I'm an attorney at Reed
5	Smith, and I'm here for the applicant. I
6	wanted to for the record, Mr. DeBlasio,
7	or or Mr. Smith, is there a record of the
8	advertising of this zoning hearing? I know
9	there's a lot of people on, so there must
10	have been notice, but I just wanted that to
11	be in the record.
12	MR. DeBLASIO: I believe there has
13	been. I would open it up to our solicitor,
14	Andrew, or Mr. Smith, our the borough
15	manager, to confirm that.
16	MR. SMITH: Yes. This is Tom Smith,
17	the Seven Fields borough manager. Also I
18	serve as the zoning officer. They I can
19	confirm that all legal advertisement has been
20	fulfilled, along with the property being
21	posted, Attorney Kirk.
22	MR. HOROWITZ: Okay. We need to swear
23	Mr. Smith.
24	MR. SMITH: Oh. Okay.
25	MR. HOROWITZ: Well, let's start with

1	swearing both Mr. Smith, as well as anyone
2	who's going to testify on behalf of the
3	borough or the applicant, and we can swear
4	people who are commenting from the public
5	later.
6	Does that work for you, Rich?
7	MR. DeBLASIO: Yes, that is fine by
8	me. So would all the
9	MR. HOROWITZ: Okay.
10	MR. DeBLASIO: applicant, counsel,
11	and anyone that would be speaking on behalf
12	of the applicant, as well as the borough,
13	raise your right hand, and we'll leave it to
14	the court reporter.
15	(Witnesses sworn en masse.)
16	MS. KIRK: Okay.
17	MS. O'NEILL: This is Rachel O'Neill,
18	and before Dusty continues with her
19	presentation, may I please share my screen,
20	showing the exhibits?
21	MR. SMITH: Yes.
22	MS. O'NEILL: Thank you. Thank you,
23	very much.
24	MS. KIRK: So while she's doing that,
25	I just want to make sure that you know who is

1	going to be testifying on behalf of the
2	applicant, and there will be Joe Uhler, who
3	is the person at Hutton who is responsible
4	for real estate; and Mark Zimmerman, who is
5	the engineer, Cody Mora, who may be speaking,
6	as well, as necessary; and we have
7	George Alexandris, who is from the developer,
8	Hutton, as well; and Josh Haydo from the
9	Wooster the traffic engineer; so I just
10	wanted to let you know who all we have
11	gathered here to speak today.
12	So the reason we are here is
13	concerning the property at located at the
14	southeast corner of State Route 228 and
15	and Northpointe Circle, so and I think
16	that we have a a site plan to show you.
17	This property has been vacant for a long
18	time; in fact, it's never been developed, and
19	one of the reasons that it's never been
20	developed is it's a little over an acre,
21	but but the some of this property was
22	taken when 228 was developed, and you'll hear
23	that, from our engineer, that was probably
24	about 30 feet.
25	So if you look at the site, it's

1	it's a trapezoidal site, and what and it's
2	been narrowed by that taking, so we're here
3	basically because of setbacks, and if we were
4	to comply with those setbacks as the borough
5	has, it would be less than an acre that would
6	be developable; in fact, it would be and I
7	think the engineer would tell you, it would
8	be closer to half an acre, and it would not
9	be able to be developed for this purpose, and
10	the purpose is to construct a modern car wash
11	in this commercial planned economic
12	development district, and it is a use that is
13	permitted by right as a general retail use,
14	so we're proposing a new structure that will
15	bring real estate taxes to this property
16	that's never been developed.
17	So there's two zoning ordinances,
18	Section 305(a)(c), the 50-foot front setback
19	required, and we are asking that that be
20	reduced to 19 feet, and for only not for
21	the building, but for the vacuums, and then
22	the zoning ordinance in the rear, $305(a)(c)$ ,
23	25 feet, also reduced to 19.
24	We are not requesting a variance for
25	the materials, and you'll hear from the

1 engineer, they will be complying with your ordinance. 2 So the first person that we have to testify is Joe Uhler from Hutton, so, Joe, 5 you're going to be the next one speaking, and I'm going to ask you to talk about how you chose this site. MR. UHLER: Sure. And just -- Rachel, 8 your exhibits, I don't believe are showing, 10 if they are intended to, so -- on the -- on the screen share, but I can -- I can go ahead 11 12 from a -- from a site selection. This is Joe Uhler, director of real estate with the 13 applicant Mod Wash. 14 15 So for our site selection purposes in 16 the Pittsburgh MSA area, we've been -- we 17 actually have quite a few projects planned; two open currently, one being in Moon 18 19 Township, and one in Wilkins Township, which 20 most people are familiar with as Monroeville. 2.1 We are -- we are really looking to be within 22 the 228 corridor. It's always been a target corridor for a -- for a few reasons. 23 24 it's within the retail densities of your 25 primary grocers, your Giant Eagle, as well as

1	your Cranberry Commons. You have a lot of
2	growth within the borough and surrounding
3	with Adams Township with all the residential
4	growth, and it's the traffic counts are
5	very apparent, so from a retail, there's a
6	convenience component to this. From a
7	from a retail site selection process, we feel
8	this is this is a great site for our
9	proposed use.
10	MS. KIRK: Before we go on this is
11	Dusty Kirk speaking again, Eileen it
12	appears that we may have lost Rachel, so
13	who was sharing her screen. I'm trying to
14	find her, and I do not have her right now,
15	so
16	MR. UHLER: She's on the Zoom. I see
17	her. She lost her camera, it looks, so
18	MS. KIRK: And she lost looks like
19	she may have lost her her microphone also,
20	because it's not showing, so
21	(Discussion off the record.)
22	MS. KIRK: Okay. So we will hopefully
23	have her back with her screen in a in a
24	moment, but the the next person that is
25	going to speak is George Alexandris, also

1	from Hutton. He's going to talk about the
2	building design and the materials, so I'm
3	going to turn it over to George now.
4	MR. ALEXANDRIS: Hello, everybody.
5	Thank you for having me and for listening to
6	our case.
7	The building is made of textured
8	block a combination of textured block,
9	Hardie board that's colored in various tones
10	of blue, and stucco, as well as glazing along
11	some of the primary facades.
12	MS. KIRK: So if Rachel is coming
13	back, she we do have a picture of what the
14	building would look like, so if Rachel, if
15	you can share your screen? I apologize for
16	the technical difficulties. This is only one
17	of the technical difficulties I've had doing
18	zoom hearings.
19	MS. PRIETO: Dusty, I think I can try
20	sharing my screen, as well. I have the
21	exhibit package open.
22	MS. KIRK: Thank you. Thank you,
23	Nicole.
24	I think it would help if people could
25	see what George was speaking about, how the

1	building would look, and that's why we do
2	backups to our backups here. Okay. Well,
3	this is the site plan. Can you go to the
4	the pictures? There you go.
5	All right. George, do you want to
6	explain what they're looking at?
7	MR. ALEXANDRIS: Yes. Again, this is
8	a prototypical elevation. It's a
9	computer-made rendering of our prototype. It
10	would be very similar to this location. The
11	building is made up of textured block, Hardie
12	plank that's painted various tones of blue,
13	we have glazing along some of the primary
14	elements in the facade, and the balance is
15	made up of stucco finish over the block.
16	MS. KIRK: Thank you, George.
17	MR. ALEXANDRIS: You're welcome.
18	MS. KIRK: So next up is
19	Mark Zimmerman, who is the civil engineer who
20	designed this site, so, Mark?
21	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Thank you. Nicole,
22	could you go to Exhibit H? I'll talk about
23	the buildable area first on this site. Thank
24	you.
25	So what you're looking at here,

1	this the overall site is just a little
2	over 1 acre, 1.078 acres. If you take into
3	account 50-foot building setbacks along State
4	Route 228 Northpointe Circle, it really
5	narrows and the 25 foot along the this
6	eastern side of the property, it really
7	narrows the buildable area for a building to
8	be developed on this site, knowing the issues
9	and the reasons we're here in trying to get a
10	variance for the development.
11	The other item here is just to the
12	north, at the top of this page, you'll see a
13	notch along 228. This is a right-of-way
14	taking that was done by PennDOT back in
15	1968-ish, when they went through and built
16	Route 228 and took took right-of-way
17	there. It used to be, we believe, 33 feet in
18	total, but due to the development and
19	obviously they could see or, foresee the
20	development along 228 in the future, they
21	took a good amount of property from this
22	location.
23	There's also a guy wire here in the
24	middle of this site, you'll notice that right
25	where the cursor is, the 20-foot easement

1	that kind of that West Penn Power has that
2	we are unable to develop in, so there's
3	there's a number of things on this property
4	that keep us from maintaining setbacks as
5	well as developing the property in a safe
6	manner.
7	The other item of note here, if
8	Nicole, you could go to Exhibit B, just the
9	site plan. Thank you. That's good.
10	MS. KIRK: So, Mark, before you
11	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yeah.
12	MS. KIRK: before you get into
13	that, so you're when you were showing the
14	notch, you said you couldn't build over it,
15	so how did that necessitate how you had to
16	design this site?
17	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Well, the notch
18	created pushing the setbacks further into the
19	property, which negated a good chunk of area
20	that we could use for this site. If the
21	notch wouldn't have been involved I
22	believe that's 10 feet you would have
23	another 10 feet along 228 that we could
24	develop in.
25	MS. KIRK: Thank you. Okay. Go

1	ahead. You were going to talk about the
2	access and
3	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yes.
4	Access on this site is also limited.
5	We are unable to propose any access onto
6	State Route 228, this is per Seven Fields
7	code, and at this point we don't believe that
8	PennDOT would allow that, either.
9	There's also the existing intersection
10	with Northpointe Circle, which is another
11	reason we aren't able to put another access
12	on 228, so where we are showing our proposed
13	driveway access on Northpointe Circle, being
14	as far away from State Route 228 as possible,
15	is the safest place, we believe, in in
16	typical traffic standards is the safest
17	place for the location of the driveway.
18	MS. KIRK: And can you talk about how
19	people come into and out of the site?
20	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Absolutely. So a a
21	customer would enter the site from
22	Northpointe Circle and travel along the rear
23	of the site, the southernmost portion
24	thank you right where the cursor is
25	going and would enter into the pay kiosks.

1 This is where a full-time Mod Wash employee 2 will be stationed to help patrons enter through the car wash, and if there is an issue, the person didn't want to get a car 5 wash, they could then be bypassed through here, so having a -- an employee here at all times definitely helps with the function of 8 the property. Once you are able to pay -- or if 10 you're not -- you go through the pay kiosks If you do not want to pay 11 and wrap around. 12 for the car wash, you would continue straight where you could exit the property. 13 did want to go through the car wash, you 14 15 would turn left and enter in through the car 16 wash where another full-time employee will be 17 stationed to help you get onto the conveyor Typical of most conveyor belt system 18 car washes, they help line up your tires and 19 2.0 such. 2.1 As you enter the car wash and exit, 22 you'll follow the -- the curb drive line and 23 drive access into the vacuum areas. 24 are free vacuums that customers are able to 25 They are included with the car wash

1 So we have ten along State Route 228, 2 and then another ten along the building. Once you're done with your vacuum, you would exit the -- the site, going back 5 towards Northpointe Circle and exiting onto Northpointe Circle. MS. KIRK: So, Mark -- this is Dusty again, Eileen -- I just wanted to ask for 8 clarification on -- on what you were saying. 10 So you -- you said you looked at the best way to design this site. What kind of 11 alternate site plans did you look, alternate 12 designs. 13 MR. ZIMMERMAN: Well, there -- there 14 15 weren't a lot of alternate designs that we could look at in a reasonable manner. 16 17 drive-thrus such as this, counter-clockwise motion, have the driver's side of the pay 18 19 kiosks, this is the safest route for car wash 20 use, is a counter-clockwise motion, and with 2.1 no access onto State Route 228, and limiting 22 the access point onto Northpointe Circle, 23 along with the building setbacks, there 24 weren't many options for us. This turned out 25 to be the best one.

1	MS. KIRK: And since since you
2	first designed this site plan, we amended it
3	and submitted it.
4	What changes did you make?
5	MR. ZIMMERMAN: We modified the vacuum
6	boom locations along the front of the
7	building to push them as far away from the
8	residential areas to the east as we could.
9	There is a minor noise with this, so we
10	wanted to make sure that we were able to keep
11	with the Mod Wash standard as well as provide
12	as much buffering as we could for the
13	neighbors.
14	MS. KIRK: And how so how many of
15	the vacuum booms did you eliminate?
16	MR. ZIMMERMAN: We eliminated two;
17	three I guess two spaces
18	MS. KIRK: Three.
19	MR. ZIMMERMAN: three total. Yes.
20	MS. KIRK: Yes.
21	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Thank you.
22	MS. KIRK: Okay. All right. And can
23	you can you talk about the that you
24	mentioned the noise, so could you then talk
25	about that, the noise, and the access points,

1	and how the noise affects the going into
2	the car wash, so
3	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yes.
4	MS. KIRK: I'm not sure if I asked
5	that correctly, but I'm trying to ask you to
6	explain where the noise flows from when you
7	enter the car wash to when you leave, and the
8	vacuum booms.
9	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Absolutely.
10	Nicole, could you please go to
11	Exhibit I? Thank you.
12	So this is an exhibit showing the
13	distance from the first brush inside the car
14	wash, as well as the nearest vacuum canister.
15	Like I said, these aren't the main noise
16	makers of the car wash, but they are the
17	closest to the residential area, and because
18	of that fact, we don't believe with the trees
19	and the additional landscaping we are placing
20	in this area that there should be any noise
21	that would disturb the the neighbors.
22	MS. KIRK: So
23	MR. ZIMMERMAN: The
24	MS. KIRK: So, George, could you
25	explain what the you know, what these
1	

numbers mean, and where they're measured from
exactly on this drawing? Or, actually, I
guess, is that should be Mark, unless,
George, you want to add into it. Mark should
start with that, I believe.
MR. ALEXANDRIS: Yeah, I think Mark
should start with that, yeah.
MS. KIRK: Yes.
MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yep. So the 163 feet,
that is from the first brushes. Since this
will be a mechanical car wash, they'll have
the brush system inside the car wash. This
is where the car will first go through and
have the the brushes hit it, the 163 feet,
and then the 140 feet is from the nearest
vacuum canister that will be closest to the
residential property building, itself.
MS. KIRK: And, George, what
what I think you were going to testify,
but let let us get Mark through first, and
then I wanted to ask you some follow-up
questions, George.
So so, Mark, what is the decibel
level, and where are the largest decibel
noises? And maybe that's George has to

1 answer that, but can you talk about the -the noise level? 2 MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yes. Just bring this up, real quick. 5 Yeah, the largest noise producer will be at the exit of the car wash. That's where the blowers are located. At a hundred feet from there is where you will get a traffic 8 noise, similar to what 228 would be. So with 10 these blowers being on the opposite end of the car wash, and over a hundred feet from 11 12 the residential property building location, it should be well within the traffic noise 13 limit of 228. 14 15 MR. ZIMMERMAN: There's also a vacuum 16 producer that's on the end of the parking 17 stalls closest to Northpointe Circle. are the rectangular boxes shown on -- in the 18 19 parking islands. Those -- I'm trying to get 2.0 that information now, I apologize, vacuum 2.1 producer. At 50 foot, that would give you a 22 traffic level noise, so that's even better 23 than the -- the blower noise, so all the 24 noise should be well within the range. 25 MS. KIRK: Well, what is a traffic

1	level noise, for the record?
2	MR. ZIMMERMAN: That's around
3	74 decibels, I believe.
4	MS. KIRK: And just to be clear,
5	when you know, where you're talking about
6	163 feet, and that's where you enter, first
7	are inside the car wash?
8	MR. ZIMMERMAN: That's correct. The
9	163 feet has is where the first brush is
10	located within the car wash, so
11	MS. KIRK: And when go ahead.
12	MR. ZIMMERMAN: About I'm sorry.
13	That's about a third of the way through the
14	car wash.
15	MS. KIRK: And so, I think you were
16	saying that the highest noise and maybe is
17	at the end where you exit, where the dryers
18	are?
19	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Correct.
20	MS. KIRK: So so how many feet is
21	that inside?
22	Maybe Nicole can put her cursor there.
23	So it's at the it's at the end as
24	you drive out?
25	Yeah, right around there, Nicole.

1	So how many feet is that?
2	MR. ZIMMERMAN: That's about
3	240 feet
4	MS. KIRK: Okay.
5	MR. ZIMMERMAN: from the blower to
6	the nearest residential.
7	MS. KIRK: Okay. And then you
8	testified about landscaping; and what kind of
9	landscaping will there be on this site?
10	MR. ZIMMERMAN: There will be a mix of
11	pine trees and deciduous and shrubbery along
12	that eastern side. I'm trying to find the
13	exhibit numbers that we have for that right
14	now.
15	MR. DeBLASIO: I know we are in the
16	middle of some testimony here, but there are
17	some comments that are being made on the
18	on the Zoom chat here. I would ask that we
19	just refrain from making any comments.
20	Everyone will have an opportunity to testify
21	and to speak. I would allow the applicant
22	I wanted to allow the applicants to make
23	their case, and then we will open it up to
24	public comment where if you do have any
25	questions or concerns, you can certainly

1	raise them at that time, so thank you, and
2	Ms. Kirk, you can proceed.
3	MS. KIRK: Thank you.
4	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Nicole, could you go
5	to Exhibit C, please?
6	Okay. So this shows the amount of
7	trees and shrubbery that we'll be planting
8	along the the borders of the property.
9	It's fairly substantial, but it does meet
10	code. Along the eastern border adjacent to
11	the residential area there will be a mixture
12	of blue spruce and shrubbery. Along there
13	were well, blue spruce along this property
14	edge, just so in the fall, when the leaves
15	change and fall off the trees, the buffer
16	will remain and absorb any sound that may
17	enter through there.
18	MS. KIRK: And do you have has
19	there been, on other car washes like this
20	that you've worked on, a decibel a noise
21	study? Or and maybe that's a George
22	question.
23	MR. ZIMMERMAN: That that would be
24	a George question.
25	MS. KIRK: Because I think we need the

1	exact decibel levels, so maybe it's J.T.
2	from so who can answer this for us?
3	George?
4	MR. ALEXANDRIS: J.T. would probably
5	be the best not would be J.T. would be
6	the best person to to answer that. We
7	have not had to produce a sound study for any
8	of our other locations that we've been
9	permitting in this area.
10	MS. KIRK: Okay. And where are you
11	permitting, George, if you can say? How many
12	other locations do you have?
13	MR. ALEXANDRIS: I'm thinking. We
14	have another another four to six that
15	that we're permitting currently. I think
16	Joe, with our real estate department, would
17	be better suited to talk about the overall
18	expectation in this area.
19	MS. KIRK: Are any of them open now,
20	George?
21	MR. ALEXANDRIS: Joe, can you can
22	we have can we defer those questions to
23	Joe, please? He will he'll have the
24	answers of the locations that are open.
25	MR. UHLER: Sure.

1	MS. KIRK: Okay.
2	MR. UHLER: Yeah, this is this is
3	Joe, Dusty. So we have the Monroeville
4	location open, that's Wilkins Township; we
5	have the Moon Township location off of
6	University Boulevard currently open. We have
7	several I'm actually trying to count right
8	now in in titlements, approvals,
9	township approvals, that with with a
10	handful already wrapped up, moving to close
11	for spring starts. That number is roughly
12	seven within the, let's say, tri-state area
13	currently.
14	MS. KIRK: Thank you. Okay.
15	So, Mark and how did you adjust
16	the the setback? I know you did, based on
17	looking and amending the the site plan a
18	little bit. Mark?
19	MR. ZIMMERMAN: We we adjusted
20	we pushed the pay kiosk as far into the site
21	as we could along the rear of the property,
22	knowing we couldn't push them any further
23	because the turning radius of a car would not
24	allow it, so as that car goes through the pay
25	kiosks closest to the building, they'll need

1	proper radii there to enter into the car
2	wash. If we pushed it any closer, they would
3	not be able to make that turn safely.
4	MS. KIRK: Okay. And you you
5	talked about the vacuum booms, and how you
6	reduced the number. I think we have a
7	drawing that shows what they look like and
8	how they're located.
9	All right. Mark?
10	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yes. So those are the
11	vacuum booms, and those would be set at each
12	side of your car. You'll have access to
13	that you can use from each side to make it
14	easier to vacuum out the car and the trunk of
15	your car.
16	MS. KIRK: Okay. And how many parking
17	spaces? Can you go through you did talk
18	about the traffic and how that flows through
19	the site, but how many parking spaces, and
20	what's required by the zoning code?
21	MR. ZIMMERMAN: 17 are required, per
22	the zoning code. We are proposing 26 at this
23	location. There will be three employee
24	designated parking spaces. We would just be
25	having three full-time employees at this

1	location.
2	MS. KIRK: All right. And what are
3	the hours of operation?
4	MR. ZIMMERMAN: 8:00 to 8:00,
5	8:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.
6	MS. KIRK: Okay. And how many
7	spots spots for queuing are there on this
8	site?
9	MR. ZIMMERMAN: 15 from the pay kiosks
10	to the entrance point of the car wash I'm
11	sorry, to the entrance point as you come in
12	the site, as shown on this drawing.
13	MS. KIRK: Okay. And have you also
14	looked at photometric and light?
15	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yes. We've provided a
16	photometric plan to the borough that
17	satisfies borough requirements.
18	MS. KIRK: And and when you're
19	saying it satisfies borough requirements, how
20	does that affect light on other adjoining
21	parcels?
22	MR. ZIMMERMAN: The negative impact of
23	the lighting would not be substantial. It
24	would be very minimal, and the 15-foot high
25	light fixture requirement is met.

1	MS. KIRK: So so when you're saying
2	minimal, it's not expected to intrude on the
3	residential area or or on other
4	properties? That's what the zoning code
5	requires; right?
6	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yes. There would be
7	very minimal spillover onto adjacent
8	properties.
9	MS. KIRK: Can you explain what that
10	means, minimal? What does that mean?
11	MR. ZIMMERMAN: If hold on one
12	second. It would be zeros along the property
13	lines. Sorry.
14	MS. KIRK: Okay.
15	MR. ZIMMERMAN: If you were standing
16	there, you would be able to see it.
17	MS. KIRK: Zero is minimal, so that's
18	good.
19	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yeah.
20	MS. KIRK: That's what I was trying to
21	get to.
22	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Correct.
23	MS. KIRK: Okay. All right.
24	And did you receive comments from the
25	borough engineer?
1	

1	MR. ZIMMERMAN: We did.
2	MS. KIRK: Okay. And were you able to
3	address those comments?
4	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yes.
5	MS. KIRK: Okay. Are there any other
6	comments that are not addressed at this point
7	besides the two variances we're seeking?
8	MR. ZIMMERMAN: No. That are the
9	only two comments left unresolved.
10	MS. KIRK: Okay. And then was there
11	a a traffic analysis done on this site?
12	MR. ZIMMERMAN: There was.
13	MS. KIRK: And was that submitted to
14	the to the borough, as well?
15	MR. ZIMMERMAN: It was, and it was
16	reviewed and approved.
17	MS. KIRK: Okay. All right. So I
18	think that J.T. Thompson is on, and there has
19	been quite a few questions about the noise,
20	so J.T.?
21	MR. THOMPSON: Yes, I'm on.
22	MS. KIRK: Okay. So, J.T., can you
23	talk about the noise of the Mod Wash, and
24	have you had studies about the noise and the
25	decibels?

1	MR. THOMPSON: We we haven't had
2	any studies done yet. We haven't had any
3	requested at this time. I think we can give
4	some technical specs in terms of decibels and
5	such from the manufacturer, if that would be
6	helpful.
7	MS. KIRK: Yes. Do you have those
8	now?
9	MR. THOMPSON: I don't have those at
10	my fingertips. I don't know if the engineer
11	or George do, but but that's something
12	that we can
13	MS. KIRK: We need we need them for
14	the for this hearing, so I don't know I
15	thought one of you had them.
16	MR. THOMPSON: I don't. I don't have
17	the I wasn't prepared to bring those with
18	me.
19	MS. KIRK: All right. Then
20	MR. ALEXANDRIS: Cody Mora Cody, do
21	you have the the specs that were forwarded
22	to you from (unreportable crosstalk)
23	MR. MORA: I was going to say, we have
24	information on the the two dominant noise
25	producers, which are the blowers and the

1	producers. We have data, I believe, at
2	50 feet and 100 and 100 feet.
3	MS. KIRK: Okay. And what does that
4	data show, Cody?
5	MR. MORA: Give me just one second to
6	look that up. Sorry, I've got to find this
7	exhibit.
8	MS. KIRK: So, Mark, while he's doing
9	that, do you know the distance from this site
10	to the Bruster's?
11	MR. ZIMMERMAN: I do not. I can look
12	that up, real quick.
13	MR. MORA: Okay. The producers, which
14	are the
15	MS. KIRK: Hold on, hold on.
16	This is Cody Mora speaking now,
17	Eileen.
18	MR. MORA: Yes. Yes. The so the
19	producers, which are the the in the
20	left portion of the property the two
21	rectangles that power the vacuums, and
22	MS. KIRK: Hold on a minute. Can we
23	adjust this so that we can see this exhibit?
24	MR. MORA: Yeah, right there.
25	MS. KIRK: Okay.
i	

1	
1	MR. MORA: So they're labeled on that
2	site plan as vacuum producer. They are in
3	there. At 50 feet, they are at 74 dba, or
4	decibels.
5	MS. KIRK: Okay.
6	MR. MORA: Then let me see if I have
7	100, as well, with that. The blower, which
8	is at the exit end of the tunnel, at 50 feet
9	is 79 dba. The blowers at 100 feet at
10	75 dba.
11	MS. KIRK: So as you get further away
12	from the blowers and closer to residential,
13	the the decibel noise goes down?
14	MR. MORA: That's correct, and our
15	tunnel is also situated so that the direction
16	of the sound goes away from should veer
17	away from the more towards the you
18	know, to the left where the exit end of the
19	tunnel, and not necessarily back the opposite
20	direction. They blow out the tunnel, if that
21	makes sense.
22	MS. KIRK: Okay. And what is normal
23	traffic decibels? I think Mark testified to
24	that. I just wanted to be clear.
25	MR. ZIMMERMAN: I believe it's 70 dba.

1	MS. KIRK: Okay.
2	MR. MORA: Yes, I've got data that
3	says environmental noise is typically 70 dba
4	with when if we were not producing any,
5	with the with the system off, you would
6	still be getting about 70 dba.
7	MS. KIRK: Okay. Okay. And then
8	so I think that at this point we can take
9	questions from the the zoning board.
10	This is a right that's permitted. We
11	have developed it so that it's the minimal
12	variance necessary for this site. So I I
13	have all these witnesses ready to take
14	questions from the zoning board.
15	MR. DeBLASIO: Thank you. And this is
16	Chairman DeBlasio, and I just wanted some
17	clarification with regard to the normal
18	traffic decibels decibels.
19	Am I to believe that there is almost
20	the same amount of decibel noise that will be
21	coming from the the blowers as there would
22	be if you're under normal traffic conditions?
23	MS. KIRK: It sounded like and Mark
24	or Cody can speak to that it sounded like
25	it was a little bit more, but there's you

1	know, there is a state interstate road
2	here, you know, so it sounded like 70.
3	Cody, do you have that information?
4	MR. MORA: Yes. Environmental noise
5	with the dryer off was measured at 70, and
6	then with the when the system is turned
7	on, you know, up close, it's it's much
8	louder, but as you get to 50 feet, it's to
9	79, and then a hundred feet is 75, getting
10	inching closer towards typical noise as you
11	move further away.
12	MR. DeBLASIO: Okay. And and how
13	far are the homes from this this area, how
14	many feet?
15	MS. KIRK: I'm not sure I understood
16	the question. Are you asking how far the
17	vacuums are?
18	MR. DeBLASIO: How far the vacuums
19	from the residential area, correct.
20	MR. ZIMMERMAN: The large sorry,
21	the large vacuum producer, the one that
22	Cody's talking about, that would be
23	approximately 250 feet away from the
24	residential property.
25	MR. DeBLASIO: Okay. Thank you.
İ	

1	Those are all the questions that I have.
2	If either of the other board members
3	have questions, feel free.
4	MR. SKEEN: I have none.
5	MR. POPOJAS: John Popojas has a
6	question for Dusty Kirk.
7	Dusty, I I wrote some notes
8	earlier, and very early in your presentation
9	I wrote down that you said that the project
10	is complying with the materials, but there's
11	a material deviation that's on our packet
12	here.
13	Can you clarify your statement,
14	please?
15	MS. KIRK: Yes. We withdrew that,
16	John. We're not we're not asking for a
17	material deviation. We're we withdrew
18	that request, and we will comply.
19	MR. POPOJAS: One other question,
20	Richard, you had just asked how far the
21	vacuum blower was from the nearest home.
22	There was a slide earlier that showed
23	from the entrance area of the wash. From the
24	brushes, it was 163 feet to the town homes,
25	and then there was another device that was

1	showing at 140 feet.
2	Dusty, can you ask your folks to bring
3	that back up?
4	MS. KIRK: Yes. We're bringing that
5	up. I think what Mark was saying is that the
6	biggest noise is the one that was on the far
7	left of the site, and then there you know,
8	that's where the largest vacuum producer was,
9	and that's the 250.
10	So, Mark, there are two other numbers
11	here. So there is a smaller vacuum, like a
12	hand-held vacuum, and that's, I think, what
13	you're referring to here with that arrow, and
14	then it says 140.
15	Could you explain that?
16	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yes, that's correct.
17	That's just a smaller vacuum canister that
18	would be located there with the larger
19	vacuum producer noise that creates the
20	suction, most of the suction in these vacuum
21	booms is located on the other side of those
22	parking stalls. It would be a rectangular
23	box. Yep, right there.
24	MS. KIRK: So the small so that
25	arrow that you're pointing, where the smaller

1	vacuum and the measurement of 140 could
2	you just explain that more?
3	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yes. I don't have the
4	specific noise producer on there, but it is
5	similar to a Shop-Vac noise in that the
6	the suction is really created at from the
7	vacuum producer, and then just a small
8	canister in this to assist with that suction.
9	MS. KIRK: And is that what the so
10	what you're saying, from that from that
11	vacuum to the nearest resident is how many
12	feet?
13	MR. ZIMMERMAN: 140.
14	MS. KIRK: And so, as you go away from
15	the vacuum, the noise level dissipates; is
16	that what you're saying when you're saying
17	the the decibel level goes down?
18	MR. ZIMMERMAN: That's correct, and
19	these the numbers you heard before were
20	from the larger vacuum producer at the end
21	MS. KIRK: Yes. I'm not
22	MR. ZIMMERMAN: of this parking
23	row.
24	MS. KIRK: I'm not asking about that.
25	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Okay.
i	

1	MS. KIRK: We've covered that, I
2	think.
3	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Sorry. Sorry.
4	MS. KIRK: I'm asking about what's on
5	this this drawing.
6	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Sure. Sure.
7	MS. KIRK: So so can you explain
8	the 163?
9	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yes. That would just
10	be the mechanical brushes that are inside the
11	vacuum that would spin and and just rub
12	against your car to help clean them.
13	MS. KIRK: Okay. Were there any other
14	questions, John, that you had about the
15	this drawing?
16	MR. POPOJAS: I had no other questions
17	I wanted to ensure Richard was aware of this
18	one, also.
19	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Thank you.
20	MR. DeBLASIO: Thank you.
21	At this point, I want to open it up to
22	the borough to to present their side of
23	things, and then, of course, we will go into
24	public comment after that.
25	MS. BURKARDT: I'm getting a whistle.

1	That's not me. This is Janet Burkardt. I
2	represent the borough.
3	I have only a question about that
4	front yard setback. It's a requirement of
5	50 feet, and you're asking for I'm not
6	clear what you're asking for. I believe
7	you're asking for 18 feet.
8	MS. KIRK: It's it's 19 feet, and
9	the reason, Janet, is if you look at that
10	notch maybe we can go back it's it's
11	already in 20 feet, so I think that's what
12	Mark said, and he can correct me if I'm
13	wrong, so
14	MR. ZIMMERMAN: That that notch
15	is is 10 feet.
16	MS. KIRK: 10 feet. Okay.
17	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yes.
18	MS. KIRK: So we are asking, instead
19	of 50, to be at 19, so that notch, we
20	can't we can't get near that because
21	that's a a power easement.
22	So how many feet, then, from that
23	notch to the nearest vacuum producer?
24	MS. BURKARDT: How many total vacuums
25	are on the site?

1	MR. ZIMMERMAN: There are 20; vacuums,
2	parking spaces.
3	MS. KIRK: No, I think that's I
4	think that's not the question she asked you.
5	Each parking space has access to the
6	vacuum; right? So how many actual vacuums?
7	So there are we have 25 parking
8	spaces, and three or four, the employees.
9	The code requires 17. And so, their vacuum
10	booms are shared, correct, with the parking,
11	so there
12	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Correct. There are
13	23 vacuum booms.
14	MS. KIRK: So there's ten and ten. We
15	reduced it by three, Mark, so it's ten and
16	ten.
17	MS. BURKARDT: I count 23.
18	MS. KIRK: Yeah.
19	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yeah, vacuum booms for
20	20 spaces, that's correct.
21	MS. BURKARDT: But where are the three
22	that you eliminated, then?
23	MR. ZIMMERMAN: The additional vacuum
24	space that we eliminated would be to the
25	right of the handicapped spaces that we

1	currently show on the plan. Where the
2	handicapped sign label is, there were three
3	spaces along there.
4	MS. KIRK: So you reduced by three?
5	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Correct.
6	MS. KIRK: So it would have been 26;
7	it's down to 23, just to be clear.
8	MS. BURKARDT: Okay.
9	MS. KIRK: And we did that after,
10	Janet, this site plan was initially
11	submitted.
12	MS. BURKARDT: Okay. If there were no
13	vacuum booms in the front of the property
14	along 228, there would be no need for that
15	variance; is that correct?
16	MS. KIRK: Well, there would be no
17	need for the car wash because they can't
18	you know, you have to have people drive
19	around somewhere, and the way the car washes
20	are designed is you know, I think J.T.
21	or or George can speak to that they're
22	designed so that it's a counter-clockwise
23	flow so they can go in and out, and so, they
24	couldn't put the vacuums
25	MR. ALEXANDRIS: Correct. Correct.

1	This is George Alexandris.
2	I think the question was related to
3	the the structures you know, in other
4	words, that there's a 50 foot building
5	setback line that's not a landscape buffer,
6	per se. The variance would not be required
7	if not for the booms being
8	MS. BURKARDT: That was my question.
9	MS. KIRK: Yes, yes, but then they
10	wouldn't be able to really have this car
11	wash.
12	MR. ALEXANDRIS: Correct.
13	MS. KIRK: That's the point.
14	MR. ALEXANDRIS: Yes.
15	MS. BURKARDT: George, can you explain
16	what that means, you can't have the car wash
17	without the extra ten vacuums?
18	MR. ALEXANDRIS: Yeah, that there's
19	there's there's we need to have
20	typically our minimum amount of booms that we
21	would want is well, vacuum boom spaces, to
22	be clear, would be 18. We're at 20 at this
23	point. There is, you know, a very desired
24	location, just, you know, its proximity to
25	other commercial uses, et cetera, is what

1	attracted us to this site that you and Joe
2	spoke about a little bit earlier.
3	From that point from that
4	standpoint, you don't want to have customers
5	come in to patron at the car wash and then
6	not able to take advantage of one of the main
7	features of the car wash, which is the free
8	vacuum. The free vacuum is a is a is
9	an absolute necessity for the the the
10	operations of the car wash, and to reduce the
11	car wash by another ten vacuum spaces
12	would would really decrease the the
13	opportunity to function correctly at this
14	location.
15	MS. KIRK: So I think your so the
16	ones that Janet's referring to are the ones
17	that were up closer to the the away
18	from the building, so
19	MS. BURKARDT: Correct.
20	MS. KIRK: Yeah, that's what she's
21	referring to, so if you eliminated all those,
22	there would still be ten?
23	MR. ALEXANDRIS: Correct.
24	MS. KIRK: And could you function?
25	That's what she's asking. Could you

1	function?
2	MS. BURKARDT: That's what I'm asking.
3	MR. ALEXANDRIS: No, ma'am.
4	MS. KIRK: And why not.
5	MR. ALEXANDRIS: There there
6	there would be too many vehicles that would
7	be coming in for again, having that
8	that that feature, and by only having ten,
9	there would probably be not probably
10	there would be vehicles that would be leaving
11	the car wash and more than likely not coming
12	back because they didn't have an opportunity
13	to vacuum their their their car wash.
14	MS. KIRK: Or would they be waiting in
15	the drive aisle?
16	MR. ALEXANDRIS: They would be
17	waiting or they would be waiting in the
18	drive aisle, at which point that would
19	that would very much nobody wants to wait
20	to do any you know, nobody wants to wait
21	when they're paying for a service.
22	MS. BURKARDT: They would be waiting
23	in the parking spaces because (transmission
24	interruption) whether there's booms there
25	or not; right?

1	MR. ALEXANDRIS: I I understand
2	what you're what you're how you're
3	seeing it, but that's not how the car wash
4	functions. The the the patrons
5	are looking for a vacuum boom space. If
6	they're not seeing a vacuum space that's
7	open, they're they're turning their
8	vehicle around and leaving, and more than
9	likely leaving with a dissatisfied
10	experience, which is not synonymous with our
11	brand.
12	MS. KIRK: And that's why or,
13	either they would be trying to wait there or
14	back you know how it is, they want to get
15	the next space that opens, so they may be in
16	the drive aisle.
17	MR. ALEXANDRIS: Yeah. From a traffic
18	standpoint, that's also creating conflicts,
19	one person's backing out, trying to get in,
20	maybe another car is coming around the
21	the the car wash, exiting the tunnel. The
22	flow of the car wash really functions at its
23	greatest when the patron goes to their
24	destination, leaving the tunnel, which is the
25	vacuum booms, having, you know, performed

1	their vacuuming at their space, and then
2	leaving the the the property overall.
3	And J.T. can probably J.T. is
4	obviously the CO of Mod Wash. He can
5	speak
6	MS. BURKARDT: Well, can I ask you,
7	then, George, at your other locations, how
8	many vacuum booms do you have at those
9	locations?
10	MR. ALEXANDRIS: It would be, again,
11	in the 18 to 20, or over 20, booms.
12	MS. BURKARDT: You don't know for
13	exactly?
14	MR. ALEXANDRIS: There there are
15	many different locations that we have, ma'am,
16	but they would all meet they would all
17	need to meet that criteria, and then they
18	and they do. We would not have built those
19	locations if they didn't meet that criteria.
20	MS. KIRK: So, J.T., maybe you can
21	speak, then, to the criteria and what you're
22	looking for when as you operate the Mod
23	Wash.
24	MR. THOMPSON: Yeah. For us and
25	George is right, you know, our preference is

1	to be able to service our customers, so it
2	does create kind of a a backlog on the
3	property if we don't have enough. I mean,
4	technically, you know, if we had to, we
5	could, but it's you know, for us, when
6	we we run our financial models and
7	everything else, we want to be able to look
8	at that, because we feel like that does drive
9	and customers into our site, so typically,
10	you know, at a minimum, you know, ideally, we
11	would have, you know, 25-plus stalls on our
12	site for for our customers, I think.
13	Cutting it down, you know, below 20 is, you
14	know at any point is not ideal for us,
15	but, you know
16	MS. KIRK: Could you could you
17	eliminate those ten and still have this car
18	wash be functional?
19	MR. THOMPSON: Are you talking about
20	the ten on the one side, and then that would
21	leave, I'm sorry, how many, ten?
22	MS. KIRK: Well, what Janet's asking
23	is could you just have the parking and
24	eliminate those ten that are closest to the
25	road, the setback?

1	MR. THOMPSON: It's for us, it
2	would not be ideal.
3	MS. BURKARDT: Thank you. How many
4	cars can fit in the car wash at one time?
5	MR. THOMPSON: On this one, you know,
6	we can we can run up to 120 cars an hour,
7	based off of the you know, typically, on
8	a in a car wash, you look at the length of
9	the tunnel, and then there's an algorithm
10	that runs, you know, how many cars you can
11	run per foot, per hour.
12	MS. KIRK: But how many can get in at
13	one time, not in an hour, I think she's
14	asking.
15	MR. THOMPSON: Oh, so so typically
16	you can have as many as, like, six on the
17	conveyor at any given time.
18	MS. BURKARDT: Okay. Thank you.
19	MR. THOMPSON: You're welcome.
20	MS. BURKARDT: I don't have any
21	further questions right now.
22	MR. DeBLASIO: Okay. If there's
23	nothing else from the borough, we will open
24	to public comment. I would ask that if you
25	are going to comment, that you get sworn in,
İ	

1	so I would ask that you unmute yourself,
2	state your name, and then you will be sworn
3	in.
4	MR. HOROWITZ: Hold on a moment, to
5	clarify, does the borough not have any of its
6	own witnesses, or is it is it just that
7	the borough is done with with cross
8	examining the applicant?
9	MS. BURKARDT: The borough is done
10	with cross examining the applicant, but we
11	will have a witness, unless you want to ask
12	him, Andrew.
13	MR. DeBLASIO: Well, if you if
14	you if you do have a witness, I would ask
15	that we we call the witness now, Andrew,
16	unless you have a different course that you
17	feel is appropriate.
18	MR. HOROWITZ: That was what I was
19	going to suggest.
20	MR. DeBLASIO: Okay. Then I ask that
21	you call the witness now, and then we will
22	open it up to public comment.
23	MS. BURKARDT: That's fine. I'll call
24	John Balewski.
25	

1 JOHN BALEWSKI, P.E. 2 called as a witness by the Borough, having first 3 been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. BURKARDT: 6 7 John, can you describe what your service is you provide to the borough? 8 Yes. I'm the borough engineer. 9 Α 10 Basically that's anything to do with, you know, subdivisions, developments, site plans, zoning, 11 12 road improvements, storm water, anything the borough needs, traffic. 13 And you have reviewed this site plan 14 0 and development plan for the borough? 15 Α 16 Yes. 17 And you have sent letters to the applicant, telling them what needs to be resolved? 18 19 Yes. 20 And in your mind, what remains to be 2.1 resolved? 22 Α It's the two variance issues we're 23 talking about, the front setback and the rear 24 setback. 25 Q Can you --

There's some other -- there's some 1 2 other issues that are still out there, but have -the building material, but those are the only ones out that remain. 5 Q Can you talk about the back setback a 6 little, please? 7 It's one of the -- this is a lot that has two front yards, so there is not really a side 8 9 yard, so the two rear would be opposite 228, it's 10 25 feet, and basically Mr. Zimmerman described it. There's two kiosks, that one of them would be in 11 the rear setback the way they have it zoned right 12 13 now. Okay. By how much? 14 Q 15 Α 7 feet, I believe is what the number 16 is. 17 Q 7, leaving a setback of? 18. 18 Α 19 And 25 is required; is that right? 20 Α Yes. 21 MS. KIRK: Janet, just for correction, 22 I think it's 6 feet, and it's 19, just close 23 enough, yes. 24 THE WITNESS: I don't have it in front 25

of me.

1	MS. KIRK: Yeah, we have the we
2	have the engineer drawing, if you want to see
3	it.
4	MS. BURKARDT: I have it. Thank you.
5	I appreciate that correction. And the other
6	is the one that we're talking about, is the
7	vacuums in the front setback, and, again, I
8	think you corrected a 1 foot difference
9	between what we had written down, Dusty, the
10	front setback is 50 feet, and you're asking
11	for 19?
12	MS. KIRK: That's correct.
13	MS. BURKARDT: Okay. Thank you, John.
14	Does anybody have any questions of
15	John? That's all the questions I have for my
16	witness.
17	MR. DeBLASIO: And is that your only
18	witness, Janet?
19	MS. BURKARDT: Yes, it is.
20	MR. DeBLASIO: Okay. At this point,
21	we will open it up to public comment. Again,
22	I would ask that you would unmute yourself,
23	obviously, to speak, introduce yourself, and
24	you will have to be sworn in to give comment,
25	so please proceed with that, if you do plan
İ	

1	on speaking, if you're a general member of
2	the public.
3	MS. GRASSO: I would like to speak.
4	My name is Kim Grasso, and I'm a resident of
5	Seven Fields.
6	MR. DeBLASIO: Okay. Thank you.
7	Ms. Grasso, can you raise your right hand?
8	We are going to have you sworn in. I know
9	you're speaking, but in the event that you
10	are providing any testimony, I would rather
11	have you just sworn in so we don't have to do
12	that in the middle of any conversation.
13	MS. GRASSO: Sure.
14	MR. DeBLASIO: Thank you.
15	(Witness sworn.)
16	MS. GRASSO: Okay. So my name is
17	Kim Grasso. I'm a 20-year resident of Seven
18	Fields, living on Covington Court.
19	First, I would like to thank you for
20	allowing me to address you this evening.
21	There are some points that I would like to
22	bring up for consideration by the zoning
23	board when making their decision on the
24	variances for the proposed car wash.
25	So, to begin with, Seven Fields

Ordinance 86, Sections 1304(c) and 1305(j),
describe automobile sales and automobile
service to include car washing. Section 302
includes a table that lists the zoning
district for each category of land use.
Here, automobile sales and automobile service
are both denoted as conditional use
industrial. However, when looking at the
Seven Fields zoning map, the area in question
where the proposed car wash is to be located
is zoned planned economic development. Since
the principal use of the proposed car wash is
industrial, per these aforementioned
definitions found in Ordinance 86, it's
unsure that it first in the PED zoning
district, especially since one of the
objectives of a PED district, according to
both Ordinance 86, Section 301(e) and the
Seven Fields Comprehensive Plan, Part 2,
Section (a)(5), planned economic development,
as follows, quote, "To promote and maintain
desirable economic development in a parklike
setting," unquote.
One might say that the Sunoco and
Giant Eagle are not in industrial zones, and

1	those two facilities have car washes, as
2	well. However, Ordinance 86 was enacted
3	after those facilities were were already
4	in place; plus, a car wash is not each of
5	these businesses' principal use.
6	But even if we assume that the
7	proposed car wash is not an industrial type
8	business classification, one needs to ask, is
9	a car wash business an example of economic
10	development in a parklike setting? The other
11	businesses in close vicinity, for example,
12	Bruster's and Big Springs, meet this
13	objective, so why wouldn't why would a
14	business that doesn't meet it be afforded
15	variances?
16	The introduction of the Seven Fields
17	Comprehensive Plan notes, quote, "The zoning
18	ordinance is the legal instrument used to
19	implement regulations and provisions that are
20	consistent with the adoptive" "adopted
21	comprehensive plan," end quote, so the
22	comprehensive plan is a guiding document for
23	community development; therefore, the
24	function of Ordinance 86 is to protect the
25	integrity of Seven Fields community

1	development.
2	The applicant has requested variance
3	for front and rear setbacks that are
4	designated in Section 303 of Ordinance 86.
5	However, Section 103 of Ordinance 86
6	describes community development objectives.
7	Objective D specifically states one of
8	these objectives as, quote, "Preserving the
9	character, appearance, and integrity of Seven
10	Fields in keeping with the present plan and
11	character of development," end quote.
12	Therefore, the setback requirements among
13	other specifications are specifically listed
14	in this ordinance in order to comply with the
15	community development objectives. They,
16	therefore, should be followed, as is the case
17	for the other businesses in the vicinity.
18	Presently, Seven Fields has a
19	small town, bedroom type community aura which
20	can be preserved via compliance with
21	Ordinance 86, Section 103, community
22	development objectives, in addition to
23	Section 303, specific dimensional standards.
24	The introduction to Section 108 of
25	Ordinance 86, general provisions, expressly

1	states, quote, "The regulations set forth by
2	this ordinance shall be minimum regulations,
3	and shall be applied uniformly to each class
4	or kind of structure or land," end quote.
5	The key words here are uniform and minimum.
6	The proposed variance requests are
7	contrary to these general provisions of
8	Ordinance 86, to reiterate again, which
9	protects the current character of our
10	community.
11	Ordinance 86 has a section for
12	supplemental regulations, Section 823. It
13	seems all of these supplemental regulations
14	may not have been addressed by the
15	application.
16	For example, noise is addressed as
17	follows: Noise which is determined to be
18	objectionable because of volume, frequency,
19	or beat shall be muffled or otherwise
20	controlled, unless required for the
21	protection of the public." The residents in
22	close proximity to the proposed mechanical
23	car wash with its exterior vacuum booms may
24	find the noise objectionable. Furthermore,
25	business owners in the places near the

1	proposed car wash which have outdoor seating
2	may experience customer complaints, or worse,
3	customer loss, due to this noise disturbance.
4	I again bring up as example both
5	Bruster's and Big Springs, because they have
6	outdoor seating and are located in very close
7	vicinity vicinity to the proposed car
8	wash.
9	Finally, the applicant has justified
10	the variance requests, stating that they will
11	not cause harm to the community. This is
12	open to interpretation, as harm can mean not
13	only measurable or physical harm, but can
14	also mean harm to the present character of
15	Seven Fields, which I have already described
16	in detail in this statement. Thank you for
17	consideration of my concerns.
18	MR. DeBLASIO: Ms. Grasso, thank you
19	for that.
20	Are there any questions for her?
21	MS. KIRK: I was wondering if she is
22	an owner of one of existing businesses
23	MS. GRASSO: No.
24	MS. KIRK: that she referenced.
25	MS. GRASSO: No, I'm not. I'm a
i	

1	20-year resident of Seven Fields, and and
2	highly vested in the community.
3	MR. DeBLASIO: Anything else? Okay.
4	Anyone else from the public, please
5	unmute yourself, give your name to be sworn
6	in.
7	MR. FATSCHEL: This is
8	Stephan Fatschel.
9	MR. DeBLASIO: Thank you.
10	(Witness sworn.)
11	MR. FATSCHEL: Yeah, I just have two
12	quick concerns. I agree with everything that
13	Ms. Grasso just discussed, but the first one
14	was I didn't really see any discussion about
15	sidewalks in the area, and I don't know if
16	that affects the setbacks. That's an area
17	that there probably would be a lot of
18	pedestrian traffic in the future.
19	And the second question I had is I
20	guess that's a question maybe for the borough
21	counsel, but the second question is regarding
22	the the noise calculations. I'm actually
23	a mechanical engineer, myself, and I don't
24	agree that you can just take the the
25	decibels from one machine and extrapolate

1	that to ten machines as a as a total noise
2	level, and I think there should be a study
3	done on that. That's all I have.
4	MR. DeBLASIO: Any questions for
5	Mr. Fatschel, or comments with regard to his
6	statement?
7	MS. KIRK: No, I do not.
8	MR. DeBLASIO: Okay. At this point,
9	moving on to another member of the public
10	that would like to speak, again, I would just
11	ask you to unmute yourself, and please
12	produce yourself to be sworn in.
13	MS. MILLS: My name is Suzanne Mills.
14	I'm a resident of 304 Covington Court.
15	(Witness sworn.)
16	MS. MILLS: Good evening, everyone,
17	and thank you for the opportunity to speak,
18	and thank you, also, for the very detailed
19	and informative presentation.
20	I would like to start by saying that
21	the the Seven Fields zoning ordinance is
22	the culmination of a years-long process
23	guided by Pennsylvania state law, and this
24	process included developing a comprehensive
25	municipal plan in 2013, and the subsequent

zoning ordinance update in 2015. It is not a
haphazard collection of the rules, but rather
it reflects our most important priorities as
a community.

2.1

Presently, the car wash is proposed to be in our commercial PED district. However, in our zoning code, a car wash is included under the automobile repair use, and you as shown on Table -- in the table on Page 26 of our zoning code, this use is only permitted by conditional use in our industrial zone, so because of this, I don't understand the Reed Smith statement tonight a car wash is permitted by right as a general use.

Furthermore, a stated objective of our zoning ordinance is to, quote, "To create a pattern of land uses which are compatible and harmonious," unquote, and a car wash, by definition, generates car traffic, and it is not compatible with the safety or the feel of our pedestrian friendly PED district where families congregate to eat at the ice cream place and the distillery, particularly children on summer nights are biking and running around.

I am -- have questions about the traffic study, which uses minimum trip numbers to make their final conclusion, which is stated in a footnote -- footnote on Page 2 of the same study; therefore, underestimating the amount of traffic by more than a factor of three.

2.1

Another variable in the traffic study seems unreasonably low that estimating that it would take three minutes to make payment and proceed completely through the service tunnel, and while their equipment may allow that under ideal circumstances, it's hard to imagine that the human interaction with the automatic payment system would be that quick. Certainly, someone who has never used the car wash would take more than three minutes to —to make payment and get through the system, and using the three minute time overestimates the efficiency of the car wash and underestimates the possible traffic backup on to Northpointe Circle.

The application has also requested reducing their setbacks to have space for several dozen vacuum booms, and we have

discussed noise here tonight, but there has 1 2 been no mention of the impulsive noise created by vacuums going on and off, which is quite different in character from a constant background noise like of the nearby roadway, and it is not clear that the landscaping that Mod Wash has -- has proposed to put in would effectively control noise. 8 For the condos to the east, the 10 landscaping appears discontinuous, rather than a wall-like hedge that would prevent 11 12 passage of noise. It has been stated today that the noise level of the car wash at a 13 distance of the condos to the east would be 14 15 similar to street noise from 228. However, 16 the residents in those condos do currently 17 have a tall wall between them and 228 to reduce noise, and applicant has not proposed 18 any such mitigation effort at this point, and 19 2.0 there's currently no noise control effort 2.1 proposed on the west side of the lot where 2.2 local businesses and our residents' enjoyment of those local businesses could be affected. 23 24 Reducing the front -- the front 25 setback could also impact anticipated future

1	expansion of Route 228. The Seven Fields
2	Comprehensive Municipal Plan states that a
3	priority is to, quote, "Be proactive in
4	cooperation with PennDOT to minimize impacts
5	during a planned Route 228 improvements
6	project," unquote. It doesn't seem that
7	allowing development within 19 feet of the
8	existing roadway I'm sorry, that's an
9	incorrect number, but it doesn't seem that
10	allowing development that close to the
11	roadway would be a proactive collaboration
12	for our anticipated Route 228 expansion.
13	In short, the variances requested by
14	applicant tonight are not consistent with the
15	letter nor the spirit of our community's
16	laws, and so I respect I request that the
17	zoning hearing board not grant the requested
18	variances, and I I would like to ask
19	applicant they did I don't know if I
20	have the the the place to do this, but
21	I would just like to mention that I am
22	curious, they said that they're interested
23	in in having a presence in the Route 228
24	corridor; however, there are already two car
25	washes within our 1 square mile community,

1	and two others existing or planned within
2	2 miles of to the east and west. Thank you,
3	very much, for the opportunity to speak.
4	MR. DeBLASIO: Thank you, Ms. Mills.
5	Are there any or, is there a
6	response to that, Ms. Kirk, or
7	MS. KIRK: Well, thank you. I just
8	wanted to say that the your borough has
9	interpreted the car wash as permitted, so
10	it's not a conditional use. It's not
11	something that we decided. It's the
12	borough decided that. So that's just you
13	know, I wanted to speak to that.
14	And also, the building's structure is
15	not in, you know, the close to 228, it's
16	the vacuum parking spaces, so that's what
17	we're requesting.
18	MR. DeBLASIO: Okay. Thank you.
19	Is there another member of the public
20	that would like to speak? Again, I would ask
21	you unmute yourself, please introduce
22	yourself, and to be sworn in.
23	MR. TRIMBLE: This is Brian Trimble.
24	I'm a resident of Seven Fields. I'm also on
25	the borough council.

1	(Witness sworn.)
2	MR. TRIMBLE: All right. Thank you.
3	Thank you for allowing me to address you.
4	I guess I'm I'm a little concerned
5	with the noise, and so, I guess I have a
6	couple questions for you.
7	One, do the doors close between when
8	the car enters the car wash, which would, in
9	theory, shut off the sound towards the
10	residential area, or do the doors stay open?
11	MS. KIRK: So who can answer that
12	question? Is that
13	MR. ALEXANDRIS: I can answer that.
14	We we we typically only have an
15	automated door at the exit of the car wash
16	MR. TRIMBLE: Okay.
17	MR. ALEXANDRIS: but we can create
18	the automated door at the entrance, as well.
19	We can absolutely live with that condition.
20	MR. TRIMBLE: Yeah, and, of course,
21	that depends on how fast people are moving
22	through the car wash and so on.
23	Have you considered putting the vacuum
24	producers inside of a building, or are they
25	already inside a building?

1	MR. ALEXANDRIS: And this is
2	George Alexandris again, just to be clear,
3	clear, responding.
4	Mr. Trimble, yes, we we could
5	enclose the vacuum producers inside of a
6	building. That's not one of the again,
7	we're looking for conditions or things that
8	we need to consider prior to going in front
9	of the planning commission, but things of
10	that nature, we can we can live with a
11	condition like that, as well.
12	MR. TRIMBLE: Okay.
13	MS. KIRK: Now, I guess, can we can
14	we pull up the site plan again, because,
15	Mr. Trimble, I think that that's
16	MR. TRIMBLE: I know I know where
17	they are. I'm just
18	MS. KIRK: I'm just
19	MR. ALEXANDRIS: That may be helpful.
20	MS. KIRK: I just want to make sure
21	George knows where they are.
22	MR. ALEXANDRIS: I do.
23	MS. KIRK: Okay. So so the ones
24	that are that we're asking for the
25	variance are at the at the the top

1	MR. TRIMBLE: Right.
	_
2	MS. KIRK: of this site plan, and
3	is that where you're requesting that the
4	building be placed? I just want to make
5	sure.
6	MR. TRIMBLE: No, no, no, no, if
7	the vacuum producer the element, whatever
8	that's called, if that's housed in something
9	that would help deaden the sound, is all I'm
10	asking.
11	MS. KIRK: So the the vacuum
12	producer that is Nicole, if you can put
13	I see it on this site, but it's by the "Do
14	Not Enter" sign, you're asking if that could
15	be put into a building, and is that
16	George, is that what you're saying you could
17	put into a building that would deaden the
18	sound?
19	MR. ALEXANDRIS: Yes. We we can
20	place both of the producers, you know, to
21	help achieve the variances being requested in
22	a building to help deaden the sound. You
23	know, typically these producers and the booms
24	are an accessory to the to the actual
25	structure for a building setback, and, you

1	know, we have done everything we can to to
2	shift these booms away from the shared
3	property line with the residential neighbors,
4	and really, you know, trying to do the best
5	we can with the use that we are. That is one
6	of the few uses that fit well on this site,
7	on this particular site.
8	All that said, I'm I'm absolutely
9	looking for opportunities to help engage in
10	the concerns that the community has, like
11	putting the producers in a house like
12	Mr. Trimble is recommending.
13	MS. KIRK: And then there's another
14	one that's up at the closer to the road,
15	right there, that, so you are saying you
16	could put both of those into a building?
17	MR. ALEXANDRIS: Yes.
18	MR. TRIMBLE: And maybe building is
19	not the right term to use here. It may be
20	enclosure. It might be
21	MS. KIRK: A structure, like a
22	Dumpster.
23	MR. TRIMBLE: A structure, yes, yeah.
24	MS. KIRK: Okay.
25	MR. ALEXANDRIS: Yes.

1	MR. TRIMBLE: And the last thing I
2	guess I have is, it shows an arrow going out
3	of the parking area.
4	Can people drive into this area and
5	get their car vacuumed only without going
6	into the into the car wash, so that would
7	require having that being sort of a two-way
8	street.
9	MR. ALEXANDRIS: Yeah. No, sir.
10	That's that's prohibited. That's a
11	that's a paid use, and to we either if
12	that became a problem, we would put a gate,
13	as represented on the plans, which would be
14	an automated gate that would not allow
15	somebody to come in, would only would only
16	go up in the event that a car was exiting the
17	car wash, but there wouldn't be a sensor on
18	the opposite side if somebody wanted to enter
19	in that one-way exit drive aisle, if you
20	will.
21	MR. TRIMBLE: Thank you. All right.
22	That's all I have. Thank you, very much.
23	MR. ALEXANDRIS: My pleasure.
24	MR. DeBLASIO: All right. Whoever was
25	just speaking, we have a little bit of an

1	echo issue. Made it difficult for us to hear
2	you.
3	I can hear somebody speaking. If you
4	could say your name?
5	MR. McVAY: Yeah. This is
6	Chris McVay. Sorry about that technical
7	difficulty.
8	MR. DeBLASIO: That's okay, Mr. McVay.
9	And I'm assuming you're a resident of Seven
10	Fields; is that correct?
11	MR. McVAY: Yes, since 2007.
12	MR. DeBLASIO: Okay. Great. Before
13	you speak, I'm going to have you get sworn in
14	by the court reporter.
15	MR. McVAY: Sure.
16	(Witness sworn.)
17	MR. McVAY: Just sticking strictly to
18	the variance, you know, trying to get a
19	19-feet setback, I'm assuming the reason or
20	one of the reasons we have 50 feet as the
21	rule for this community was enhanced
22	community appeal, and going back to 19 feet
23	and only 19 feet, to me, would give it, you
24	know, an industrial look, business district
25	look, something you would see along McKnight
ı	

1	Road or Route 19 here in Cranberry, so my
2	concern is what it would do to our property
3	values.
4	As a homeowner here, plan to stay
5	here, you know, that's my biggest concerns,
6	what's it going to do to the community and
7	the property values, and also would it set a
8	precedent for future businesses, you know, if
9	one business saw that there's only 19 foot
10	setback, would that cascade to future
11	businesses? So I hope the zoning board
12	considers that point in their recommendation
13	to council. Thank you.
14	MR. DeBLASIO: Thank you for that.
15	Is there anyone else that plans on
16	speaking? Again
17	MS. PIRT: Yes, hello. My name is
18	Mary Pirt. I'm a resident of Seven Fields.
19	Can you hear me?
20	MR. DeBLASIO: Okay. Ms. Pirt, yes.
21	I would ask you the court reporter is
22	going to swear you in.
23	MS. PIRT: Okay.
24	(Witness sworn.)
25	MS. PIRT: I'll be pretty short. The

1	one thing I I did look over the variance,
2	but I also looked over the like, a summary
3	report from December 2nd from MS Consulting,
4	Incorporated, and it said it mentioned at
5	the end about no sidewalks, but I don't see a
6	variance. I don't sea a waiver for that. I
7	was interested in having sidewalks since
8	that's a requirement for the borough, so
9	that's one thing, I'm interested in
10	sidewalks.
11	And then another thing, the traffic
12	flow, I see it says the traffic is supposed
13	to come off of Northpointe Circle, but how
14	are you controlling people not coming off of
15	228 into a car wash, like, so if it backs up,
16	is there's going to be a bump in the in
17	the center lane, or how are you controlling
18	that flow? That's one question I have.
19	Do you want me to keep going with all
20	my questions, or can you answer that one, or
21	no?
22	MR. DeBLASIO: Well, I'll ask you
23	this, how many questions do you have?
24	MS. PIRT: I have just a few short
25	ones, you know

1	MR. DeBLASIO: Then I I would ask
2	that you just ask the questions, and then
3	MS. PIRT: Okay. Sounds good.
4	MR. DeBLASIO: we'll
5	MS. PIRT: Okay. And then well,
6	because I was just thinking I don't want it
7	backing up to 228.
8	Okay. Then have they considered
9	putting up the sound barrier near the
10	townhouses, like, along that row? I don't
11	live over there, I live up the hill but, for
12	those people and with the with the
13	sound, noise, like, I don't I'm not an
14	engineer, but, like, if all the vacuums are
15	running at one time, like, what is this
16	I'm curious, what is that decimal (sic)? If
17	you had all the vacuums running, it seems
18	like you might decimals it seems like
19	you might have that much of a business, and I
20	was wondering.
21	And then for each parking spot, is
22	there's two parking spots for one vacuum
23	cleaner, it seemed like to me. I was
24	wondering if that was the case.
25	And then two more quick questions.

1	And then I saw you had something about for
2	a light sign on top of the property, but then
3	that's taken out. I'm assuming that's going
4	to be a separate application, but I wanted to
5	make question that.
6	And then the last thing is the car
7	washes that are in the area, like, did you
8	mention the Pittsburgh area, are they built
9	near houses housing plans? Are they,
10	like, on a main, like, strip mall kind of
11	thing, I was wondering. And that's it.
12	Thank you, very much, for letting me speak,
13	appreciate it.
14	MR. DeBLASIO: Thank you. I do
15	appreciate those questions, and, in fact,
16	some of those are on my mind, as well, so I
17	open that up to the applicant to speak to
18	those.
19	MS. KIRK: So I guess to answer I
20	want to make sure I answer all the questions
21	and I direct them to George, so or whoever
22	can answer this question. So I think she was
23	asking about the the number of parking
24	spaces, and I think that Mark testified that
25	there you know, there are a parking space

1	on either side, so, Mark, can you explain?
2	She was asking about the number of parking
3	spaces.
4	MR. ZIMMERMAN: So each vacuum parking
5	space will have vacuum boom on each side of
6	it, so there will be two vacuum booms per car
7	space.
8	MS. PIRT: Okay.
9	MS. KIRK: And then I'm not sure what
10	other question, Mr. DeBlasio, you wanted us
11	to answer. I mean, they have done they
12	are locating this because they believe there
13	is a market for the car wash, so
14	MR. DeBLASIO: I guess
15	MS. KIRK: I guess Uhler's on the
16	test he looks for the sites.
17	MR. DeBLASIO: So and I guess one
18	of the pertinent things from me was the
19	collective noise of these vacuums. Is it
20	when we talk about when we talked about
21	the decibel decibel level and stuff, is
22	that from one particular vacuum, or is that a
23	totality of them?
24	MS. KIRK: That's a that's a
25	question that I think one of the engineers

_	
1	needs to answer.
2	MR. DeBLASIO: Okay.
3	MS. KIRK: Is that Cody?
4	MR. MORA: So the data we gave was
5	specifically from what we considered the
6	largest the largest producing sound,
7	that's what we have data from, is the blowers
8	that actually dry the car or or blow the
9	water off the car, and then the producer,
10	which is the mechanism that creates the
11	suction for the vacuums, so really what you
12	have is you have two producers or two almost
13	like they're the heartbeat of of the
14	the mechanics; right? That's where all your
15	noise is coming from that creates the air
16	suction, and I think the overall question
17	is
18	MS. KIRK: Nicole, can you pull up the
19	site plan again? And then, I think, Cody,
20	that will help you to explain. And that's
21	exactly what Mr. Trimble asked, if we if
22	there could be a structure put around to
23	reduce the noise.
24	MR. MORA: In theory, yes, we could
25	we could we could and you know

1	MS. KIRK: So the yeah, so the
2	vacuum producer, I think Nicole has her
3	cursor around it.
4	So, Cody, that's what you're saying,
5	that vacuum producer, and then the one that
6	says by the "Do Not Enter" sign, those are
7	the ones that make the noise?
8	MR. MORA: That's that's what's
9	generating the most of the yeah, your
10	noise. The noise that's coming from the
11	individual vacuums, themselves, would just be
12	incidental to the air, you know, going
13	through the vacuum and anything that it's
14	suctioning out of.
15	MS. KIRK: So that's what that's
16	what the testimony you gave before about
17	the noise levels, that was related to these
18	vacuum producers?
19	MR. MORA: Yeah, that that
20	that that's what that's what's
21	that's what would be concerned that would
22	be where your noise levels I would think a
23	majority of your noise comes from
24	MS. KIRK: And so yeah, so
25	Mr. Trimble asked if you all would consider

1	putting a structure around it, and George
2	said that he would.
3	MR. MORA: That's correct.
4	MR. DeBLASIO: Okay. Thank you for
5	that.
6	Is there any other questions or
7	comments from the public?
8	MR. SKEEN: To Cody and Dusty, before
9	we move on to another public so these
10	producer like, the vacuum producers, do
11	does the noise and the like, the decibel
12	level from them increase if we have like,
13	is it the same decibel level if one vacuum
14	hose is running compared to all 20? Like,
15	that's, I think, one of the biggest issues,
16	it sounds like, I've heard, so
17	MR. MORA: It's the same. They're all
18	constantly running all at the same time, so
19	it's they're all when the system is on,
20	they all have air. You know, it's not till
21	you pull it out of its its mechanism that,
22	you know, the air is stopped when it's you
23	know, when it's plugged in, right, and then
24	when you pull it out, it's it's it's
25	always running.

1	MR. FATSCHEL: This is Stephan. Can I
2	just say something, real quick?
3	MR. DeBLASIO: Yeah, Stephan. Just
4	make it brief, please, because you did speak
5	previously, so
6	MR. FATSCHEL: Yeah, I just want to
7	say that the previous comment was incorrect.
8	When you have multiple decibels, you have to
9	add those. It's like a logarithmic equation,
10	so they actually need to do another study to
11	figure out what the decibel level is in
12	totality. That's it.
13	MS. KIRK: So just to be clear, what
14	he said is that the other the vacuums,
15	themselves, make an air brush sound, so he
16	wasn't saying that there wasn't noise. It
17	was more of an air rush sound, so it's not a
18	big decibel producer, is what I think Cody
19	said, just to be clear. The two vacuum
20	produces are the ones that make the noise.
21	They are situated away from the residential
22	property.
23	So there was a suggestion of some kind
24	of a barrier. I don't know what what that
25	is. George says they've done that in other

1	locations. I don't know, George, if you want
2	to speak to that a sound barrier?
3	MR. ALEXANDRIS: Yeah. I mean, the
4	the the sound barrier wall would be an
5	enclosure around the vacuum producer.
6	There's always walls that we can put up as a
7	sound barrier along our shared property line;
8	however, as we understood the variances, that
9	would create another variance, and we, you
10	know, just speaking openly, didn't know how
11	to handle that, and we're looking for
12	guidance in this hearing.
13	MS. KIRK: Right, and we were trying
14	not to make it more industrial, or industrial
15	at all. This is designed as not an
16	industrial site, it's designed as a retail
17	site, and so, if we can go back to the
18	pictures and and, you know, we're not
19	trying to put up a wall that might will be
20	a variance and may not be as attractive.
21	So so the the landscaping, we
22	think, is is what is critical, and that
23	is show her can you yeah, blow that
24	up a little bit. So landscaping makes the
25	trees can make a good sound barrier, and I

they were talking about evergreen trees, so I
don't know if there's an enhancement that
could be made there. So we're you know,
we didn't want to ask for another variance
here.
MS. PIRT: Excuse me, this is
Mary Pirt again. Well, that's a good point.
I appreciate you considering what you
know, what's ugly, you know, if that's going
to be ugly or not, to put that sound barrier
or not, but and and I've never been to
a zoning hearing, so I'm directing this to
the zoning board committee, and then they can
do with this information I just want to
make sure that they, you know, are checking
up about the light sign on top of the thing,
is that a variance that needs to be done
later or not, I'm you know, I don't
MS. KIRK: That's not being asked for
at this time. We
MS. PIRT: Oh, okay.
MS. KIRK: would have (unreportable
crosstalk) Mary and
MR. DeBLASIO: Right. That's
that's just something we're we're not

1	considering at this point. It's not being
2	asked of us.
3	MS. PIRT: Okay. And then
4	MR. DeBLASIO: Thank you, Mary.
5	MS. PIRT: about the controlling
6	traffic traffic flow off of 228 going into
7	the car wash, you know, make sure that that
8	traffic's not going to be backing up, making
9	sure people are coming in through the
10	Northpointe Circle to go to the car wash, so
11	that's just something to think about. Okay.
12	Thank you. Bye-bye.
13	MS. KIRK: No, there's no access to
14	the car wash from 228, and the traffic
15	engineer's report indicates there wouldn't be
16	queuing, even on Northpointe Circle because
17	of the number of spaces on site.
18	MR. DeBLASIO: Thank you, Ms. Kirk.
19	MS. KIRK: He's here, if you have
20	questions for him.
21	MR. DeBLASIO: Is there any other
22	member of the public that would like to
23	discuss these issues?
24	MS. MILLS: This is Suzanne Mills
25	again. I had a question. Reed Kirk said

1	that the borough decided that this is a
2	permitted use, that this car wash is a
3	permitted use, in our PED district, so this
4	is a question for the the zoning board, is
5	that decision made by the zoning board in
6	another venue, or how and when is that
7	decision made, because it doesn't seem to be
8	consistent with our zoning ordinance.
9	MR. DeBLASIO: That is that is not
10	made by the zoning board, to my knowledge,
11	Andrew. That is something that is decided
12	outside of this. We are limited to to the
13	question of at issue here, which is the
14	variance, itself. Andrew, I would defer to
15	you, if if you have any other comment on
16	that.
17	MR. HOROWITZ: Yeah, yeah, that's not
18	part of what we're being asked to vary. The
19	idea is that a car wash would be permitted in
20	the zone. In general, it's just a question
21	at this point of the setbacks.
22	If, for instance, the property were
23	larger, the car wash could be built without
24	seeking any variance or any involvement of
25	the zoning board.

1	MS. MILLS: So I understand that we're
2	just talking about variances requested
3	tonight, and my question is, why is it not
4	being discussed the fact that our zoning
5	ordinance does consider a car wash an
6	industrial use? When is the appropriate time
7	to discuss that zoning issue, if not in front
8	of the zoning board?
9	MR. HOROWITZ: The borough council is
10	the entity that is responsible for creating
11	and and amending the zoning ordinance,
12	which defines what uses are allowed in each
13	zone, and which areas of the borough are
14	are zoned for particular uses, so that's, I
15	would say, really more of a question for
16	council.
17	MS. MILLS: Thank you. I appreciate
18	that.
19	MS. GRASSO: This is Kim Grasso. Just
20	to back up what Suzanne just said and
21	thank you, Andrew, for also giving us some
22	more information on that, but I guess I'm
23	still a little uncertain, a little confused
24	about this, because Ordinance 86 already
25	defines that a car washing is part of or,

1	I'm sorry, also defines that automobile sale
2	and automobile service include car washing,
3	and those two uses, automobile sales and
4	automobile service, are denoted in the table
5	in Section 302 as industrial, so I guess I'm
6	still uncertain why it's why this is being
7	considered for a PED district. I mean, I
8	I
9	MR. DeBLASIO: Ms. Grasso, I want
10	to
11	MS. GRASSO: Go ahead.
12	MR. DeBLASIO: I want to I want
13	to address your question. I I certainly
14	understand your question and your and your
15	concern, but for this specific hearing, I
16	don't think that it's relevant. I think
17	that's more of a question for a council
18	meeting at this point, because we are only
19	limited to this this certain issue, which
20	is the variance being requested. We can't
21	really comment on the other issues being
22	raised because it's not relevant to to the
23	current issue before the board.
24	MS. GRASSO: Okay. Thank you.
25	MR. DeBLASIO: Thank you.

1	Any other comments from the public?
2	Any other board member comments before
3	we go into executive session, or any comments
4	from either of the parties, applicant or the
5	borough?
6	MS. KIRK: No, we just want to thank
7	you, very much, for taking the time, and for
8	considering this, and as you can see, that
9	this developer, Hutton, and Mod Wash, they
10	want to put a very quality development in
11	your borough. They're very concerned about
12	how it looks. They're doing the best they
13	can to have it be something that will be a
14	benefit to the community.
15	MR. DeBLASIO: Thank you, very much.
16	At this point, if there's no further
17	comments, concerns, the board members, as
18	well as Andrew, our solicitor, will break out
19	into an executive session to discuss these
20	issues. At that point, we will come back for
21	a vote to whether or not to approve or
22	deny the variance at issue. Thank you,
23	everyone.
24	MR. HOROWITZ: All right. So whoever
25	is administering the Zoom, if you could set
İ	

up the breakout room, that would be great.
(Recess taken.)
MR. DeBLASIO: So the board members
and our solicitor obviously had a lengthy
discussion in executive session regarding the
issues before us today.
Unfortunately, we don't have enough
information to go ahead and grant or deny
this this variance request at this point.
What the board requests is that some type of
noise study a comprehensive noise study be
done that can kind of address some of the
concerns that that were listed here
tonight with regard to the decibel levels,
something that is more concrete, rather than
just general, so to speak, information, and
if that's done, and we would give you a
continuance I think the maximum we're
allowed is 45 days but Ms. Kirk, how long
does your client think that they would need
to do some type of comprehensive noise study?
MS. KIRK: Oh, actually, while you all
were out, I was talking to my client about
doing a noise study.
MR. DeBLASIO: Okay.

1	MS. KIRK: So
2	MR. DeBLASIO: You read my mind.
3	MS. KIRK: they believe that they
4	should do it and will do it.
5	George, do you have any idea how long
6	that would take?
7	MR. ALEXANDRIS: I mean, the 45 days
8	would be the maximum we would need. I would
9	expect we can get it done within the next
10	30 days.
11	MR. DeBLASIO: Okay. Well, let's do
12	this, to be safe and, Andrew, if you
13	disagree with me, let me know I think
14	we'll just do 45 days, just to make sure that
15	they have enough time to get the information,
16	and because, you know, I do think the noise
17	was a concern of the zoning board members,
18	if as comprehensive as it could be, so we
19	can make the best informed decision, that
20	would be great.
21	MR. HOROWITZ: You know, can we
22	just it needs to be a date 45 days or
23	less, but, you know, we're all available,
24	and, you know, the borough can make it work,
25	so 45 days from now is April 1st, so I guess

1	then it just becomes that we need to pick a
2	date on the calendar, and if we pick a date
3	on the calendar now, we can forego
4	advertising it as a new hearing, and, you
5	know, just a continuation of this hearing,
6	but we need to do that before adjourning the
7	meeting.
8	MR. DeBLASIO: Okay. How would
9	that
10	MR. ALEXANDRIS: This is
11	George Alexandris, if I can speak for a
12	moment, I would prefer to lock in a date and
13	have it be sooner than the 45 days. I can
14	commit to the 30
15	MR. DeBLASIO: Okay.
16	MR. ALEXANDRIS: if that would be
17	okay. We're we're eager to to
18	this is a northern location, and when the sun
19	is shining, we can be constructing, so it's
20	so much better for us.
21	MR. DeBLASIO: Certainly.
22	MR. ALEXANDRIS: Thank you.
23	MR. DeBLASIO: I'm looking at my
24	calendar now, and I'm speaking directly to
25	to Andrew and our board, more so than

anything. The last week of so next week
is the last week of February. The first week
of March, which it would be Monday, the 1st
through the 5th, any of those dates work for
me.
MR. HOROWITZ: But they're not
they're not going to have this ready in two
weeks, I don't think. I think we're probably
talking more like mid to late March; right?
MS. KIRK: Can we say around the 15th?
I'm just looking that week, would that
that's, like, a month.
Would that give you enough time,
George?
MR. ALEXANDRIS: Yes, ma'am. That
would be great.
MS. KIRK: So does any days that week
work?
MR. DeBLASIO: The only date that does
not work for me is the 15th. That would be
that Monday. Any other date works for for
me.
MR. HOROWITZ: I'm wide open that
week.
We also need to include, obviously,

1	the the borough solicitor, the borough
2	engineer, and the borough manager in this.
3	MR. DeBLASIO: Why don't we do this,
4	as far as the board, itself, do those any
5	of those dates work for you?
6	MR. SKEEN: I'm free that entire week
7	right now.
8	MR. DeBLASIO: John?
9	MR. BALEWSKI: Basically any Monday,
10	Tuesday, or Wednesday
11	MR. DeBLASIO: Okay.
12	MR. BALEWSKI: in March work for
13	me.
14	MR. DeBLASIO: Okay. So right now, it
15	looks like March 16th or 17th is good with
16	the board.
17	MS. BURKARDT: Those two days are good
18	for me.
19	MR. DeBLASIO: Okay.
20	MR. ALEXANDRIS: I'll be available.
21	MS. KIRK: Those also work. Do they
22	work for our our so just I just want
23	to be clear, so do you think we need any
24	other so I can see what experts need to be
25	here, George will be here, we'll have whoever

1	does the noise study be here, but do we
2	and maybe the engineer do we need anything
3	else, because we need to check a bunch of
4	schedules, so
5	MR. HOROWITZ: What about Mr. Smith
6	and Mr. Belushi Balewski, sorry.
7	MR. SMITH: We both said we'd be
8	available, Attorney Horowitz.
9	MR. HOROWITZ: Okay. I'm sorry, I
10	missed that.
11	MR. DeBLASIO: Okay. Well, I guess
12	Andrew, you you pick the date, the 16th or
13	17th.
14	MR. HOROWITZ: Well, seeing is the
15	17th the 17th is Saint Patrick's Day, and
16	while I'm not Irish, that might be important
17	to some people, let's plan on the 16th.
18	MR. DeBLASIO: I like that idea.
19	MR. HOROWITZ: And shall we keep it at
20	6:00 p.m.?
21	MR. DeBLASIO: That's fine by me.
22	MR. HOROWITZ: Okay.
23	MS. KIRK: George, the 16th at
24	6:00 p.m.
25	MR. ALEXANDRIS: Yes. I got it. Take
l	

1	this opportunity to thank you all for for
2	hearing all that we had to to present
3	today, and we'll certainly give you more on
4	the 16th.
5	MR. DeBLASIO: We appreciate it.
6	Is there anything else, Andrew, you
7	need from from our end? Just adjourn the
8	meeting at this point?
9	MR. HOROWITZ: No. I think we're
10	we're we can just adjourn, pending
11	reconvening on the 16th.
12	MR. DeBLASIO: Okay. So at this
13	point, we are going to adjourn. We have
14	continued the hearing for further evidentiary
15	development to March 16th, 2021 at 6:00 p.m.
16	Thank you, everybody.
17	MR. HOROWITZ: Wait, one more thing,
18	I'm sorry, are we going to plan to do this
19	over Zoom, or do you want to try for an
20	in-person meeting? I probably I would
21	think Zoom would be better. I don't know
22	where we'll be with the pandemic, and if we
23	have as many people as we have tonight, we
24	probably don't want them all in council
25	chambers.

```
MR. DeBLASIO: Right. I think Zoom is
 1
 2
         the best way to go about this.
 3
               MR. HOROWITZ: Okay.
               MR. DeBLASIO: Okay. Thank you,
 4
 5
         everyone. Meeting is adjourned.
               MS. KIRK: Thank you. Thank you, very
         much.
 8
               MR. HOROWITZ: Thanks, everyone.
               (Thereupon, Applicant Exhibit No. 1
 9
         was marked for identification.)
10
11
12
               (Thereupon, at 8:37 o'clock p.m., the
         hearing was adjourned and continued to
13
14
         March 16, 2021 at 6:00 o'clock p.m.)
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	C-E-R-T-I-F-I-C-A-T-E
2	I, Eileen L. Drake-Ober, the undersigned, do
3	hereby certify that the foregoing ninety-eight
4	(98) pages are a true and correct transcript of my
5	stenotypy notes taken of the hearing held at the
6	offices of Seven Fields Borough, 2200 Garden
7	Drive, Seven Fields, Pennsylvania 16046, on
8	Monday, February 15, 2021.
9	
10	34.H
11	Eileen L. Drake-Ober
12	Notary Public in and for the
13	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania My Commission expires: December 6, 2024
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	